| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | 10/772,185 | SAUER, JUDE S. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | VICTOR NGUYEN | 3731 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>VICTOR NGUYEN</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Kenneth LuKacher</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 16 May 2011 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | | |
| Claims discussed: 4, 29 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Cited prior arts | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner and Applicant discussed the pending amendments. The examiner provided suggestions to allow the application. The result of the interview is represented in the Examiner's Amendment and the reasons for allowance is attached. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| /VICTOR X NGUYEN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropri | iate) |